arXiv:1511.02685v2 [math.AG] 10 Nov 2015

LATTICEPOLYTOPES: A PACKAGE FOR COMPUTATIONS WITH LATTICE POLYTOPES IN MACAULAY2

ANDERS LUNDMAN AND GUSTAV SÆDÉN STÅHL

Abstract. We introduce the package LatticePolytopes for Macaulay2. The package provides methods for computations related to Cayley structures, local positivity and smoothness for lattice polytopes.

1. Introduction

A defining characteristic of toric geometry is the interplay between algebraic and convex geometry. For example, affine toric varieties correspond to polyhedral cones, and complete embeddings of projective toric varieties correspond to lattice polytopes. This is the theoretical basis for the two packages NormalToricVarieties and Polyhedra [2] in Macaulay2 [10]. These packages make it possible to study the core objects and properties of this classical theory. In the present note we introduce the package LatticePolytopes, which extends the functionality of the two above mentioned packages. Our package provides methods for investigating properties of toric varieties relating to Cayley structures, Gauss maps, local positivity, adjunction theory and smoothness, all of which are active research areas, see for example [1],[7],[13].

As the name suggests, the package LatticePolytopes deals primarily with lattice polytopes. If $M \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a lattice, then a convex lattice polytope $P \subset M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^n$ is called smooth if the edge-directions at every vertex form a basis of M. Such polytopes are important since they, by the toric dictionary, correspond to smooth polarized toric varieties $(X, \mathscr{L})$, see [5, Theorem 2.4.3]. Furthermore, the set $P \cap M$ of lattice points contained in P corresponds to a basis of the global sections of $\mathscr{L}$. Using this correspondence, Bogart et al. proved that, for a fixed positive integer N, there are, up to isomorphism, only finitely many complete embeddings of smooth toric varieties in $\mathbb{P}^N$ [3]. Equivalently, in convex geometric terms, there are only finitely many smooth lattice polytopes with N lattice points up to isomorphism. Motivated by this result, all smooth two dimensional lattice polytopes with at most 12 lattice points, as well as all three dimensional lattice polytopes with at most 16 lattice points, have been classified in [14] and [15] respectively. Both these classifications are implemented in the package LatticePolytopes via the functions listSmooth2D and listSmooth3D.

As can been seen from these classifications, most complete embeddings $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ of smooth toric surfaces and threefolds with small enough N are projective bundles

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 52B20, Secondary 14Q99, 14M25.

Key words and phrases. Macaulay2, Lattice Polytopes, Toric Varieties, Local Positivity, Cayley Polytopes, Smoothness.

The first author is supported by the Swedish Reseach Council, grant number 2014-4763.

The second author is supported by the Swedish Reaserch Council, grant number 2011-5599.

over a smooth toric base. The associated smooth polytopes are in turn endowed with a (strict) Cayley structure [6]. Our package provides a method for investigating if a given, not necessarily smooth, rational polytope has a Cayley structure via the boolean method isCayley. Moreover, the method Cayley provides an easy method to construct such polytopes.

Another part of the package LatticePolytopes deals with local positivity. Loosily speaking, local positivity can be described as the study of positive line bundles on a variety. The positivity of a line bundle is given by various generalizations of what it means for a line bundle to be ample. Two such possible generalisations are jet seperations and Seshadri constants. In the package LatticePolytopes, the methods isJetSpanned and degreeOfJetSeperation are included in order to help the user investigate the jet seperation of line bundles on toric varieties. Furthermore, the package includes the method epsilonBounds, which is a numerical algorithm to bound Seshadri contants at general points based on bounds in [12, Theorem 3.6].

The last part of the package deals with Gauss maps on toric varieties. A Gauss map is a rational morphism which outside the singular locus assigns to every point the projective tangent spaces at that point. Gauss maps have been studied quite intensely in both algebraic and differential geometry. They are also related to local positivity via a generalization to linear spaces of higher order tangency [4, 18, 11]. For toric varieties, there exist combinatorial descriptions of these maps, see [9] for the classical case and [8] in the case of higher order Gauss maps. Unfortunately, the combinatorics quickly becomes complicated, as the number of lattice points of the associated lattice polytopes grows. This can be remedied using our package both in the classical and higher order case. For the classical case one can use the methods gaussFiber and gaussImage while the methods gausskFiber and gausskImage apply to the higher order case.

2. Examples

Polyhedral sets are implemented in Macaulay2 as objects of the class Polyhedron. A Polyhedron is a type of hashtables defined within the package Polyhedra. The same package allows for manipulation of general polyhedral sets whereas the methods introduced in our package are unique for lattice polytopes. Using the package LatticePolytopes, the following set of commands creates a list of all smooth lattice polytopes of dimension 2 with at most 12 lattice points, and then counts how many of them have exactly n lattice points, for each $n \leq 12$.

```
L=listSmooth2D();
tally(apply(L,x->#latticePoints(x)))
 = Tally{3 => 1, 4 => 1, 5 => 1, 6 => 3, 7 => 3, 8 => 4,
        9 => 5, 10 => 7, 11 => 6, 12 => 10}
```

For instance, we see that there are precisely 3 smooth lattice 2-polytopes with exactly 7 lattice points. By the toric dictionary, these numbers correspond to the number of embeddings of smooth toric surfaces $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ up to isomorphism for every $n \leq 11$.

An interesting characteristic of the set of smooth 3-dimensional lattice polytopes with at most 16 lattice points, classified in [15], is that the vast majority has a so called Cayley structure. The following commands generate this list of polytopes, and then check how many of them are of this type using the method isCayley.

```
L=listSmooth3D();
```

```
tally(apply(L,isCayley))
= Tally{false => 4, true => 99}
```

We see that only 4 of the 103 polytopes lack a Cayley structure. In general, if the polytope P associated to a polarized toric variety $(X, \mathscr{L})$ has a Cayley structure, then there is a canonical and explicitly given birational morphism $X' \dashrightarrow X$, where X' is a projective bundle over a smooth toric basis [6]. Moreover, if X is smooth and of dimension at most 3, then P has a Cayley structure if and only if X is a projective bundle over a smooth toric base [16, Proposition 2].

One natural operation on a smooth variety is taking blow-ups in closed subvarieties. Blowing up an embedded toric variety in a torus invariant subvariety is equivalent to cutting off faces of the associated polytope. Here, cutting off a face Q of a polytope P means intersecting P with a certain halfspace not containing Q. By the above mentioned equivalence, this is the same as taking the blow-up of the variety corresponding to P at the subvariety corresponding to Q. These toric blow-ups can be computed in LatticePolytopes using the command toricBlowUp. The command also asks the user to specify a positive integer k and returns the polytope corresponding to the blow-up $\pi\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$, with exceptional divisor E, embedded in projective space via $\pi^*\mathscr{L} - kE$. As an example, the following lines of code compute the polytope corresponding to the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ at a fixpoint (corresponding to a vertex of the polytope) embedded by $\pi^*\mathscr{O}(2,2) - E$.

```
P=hypercube 2;
BP=toricBlowUp(P,convexHull(matrix{(vertices P)_0}),1)
{vertices P, vertices BP}
 =  {| -1 1  -1 1 |, | -1 0  1  -1 1 |}
     | -1 -1 1  1 |  | 0  -1 -1 1  1 |
```

The package LatticePolytopes also includes a family of methods to investigate the local positivity properties of polarized toric varieties in terms of the associated polytopes. For example, the command degreeOfJetSeparation computes the largest integer k such that a given line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on a toric variety is k-jet spanned at a specified point. Recall that if $x \in X$ is a smooth point of a projective variety, with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_x$, then a line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on X is said to be k-jet spanned at x if the natural map

$$j^k\colon H^0(X, \mathscr{L}) \to H^0(X, \mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{O}_X/\mathfrak{m}_x^{k+1})$$

is onto. In local coordinates around a point x, this map is given by sending a section to the first k terms of its Taylor expansion at x. Thus, jet separation naturally generalize the very ampleness, where the associated embedding separates points and tangent directions.

Another measure of local positivity is the Seshadri constant at a point x of a smooth polarized variety $(X, \mathscr{L})$. It is defined as

$$\epsilon(X, \mathscr{L}; x) = \inf_{C \subseteq X} \frac{C \cdot \mathscr{L}}{m_C(x)},$$

where the infimum is taken over all irreducible curves $C \subset X$ passing through x and $m_C(x)$ denotes the multiplicity of C at x. These constants are closely related to the Seshadri condition for ampleness and the Nagata conjecture. They are in general very hard to compute. For smooth toric varieties, the Seshadri constant at a torus fixpoint coincides with the degree of jet separation at that point [1]. Upper and lower bounds of Seshadri constants at general points on toric surfaces can

be computed in the package LatticePolytopes using the command epsilonBounds. These combinatorial bounds are based on the bounds introduced in [12], and a description of the algorithm appears in [16, Algorithm 2]. Applying these methods to $(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathscr{O}(2,2))$ works as follows.

```
P=hypercube 2;
degreeOfJetSeparation(latticePoints(P),transpose(matrix{{1,1}}))
=  2
epsilonBounds(P,17)
 = {2, 2}
```

Here, the command degreeOfJetSeparation computes the degree of jet separation of $(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathscr{O}(2,2))$ at the point which is the image of the identity $(1,1)$ of the torus action. Recall that the degree of jet separation is constant on the big torus orbit of a toric variety and that the general points of the variety lie in this orbit [17]. The last command shows that $\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathscr{O}(2,2); 1)$ is bounded from above and below by 2. Thus, by the above computation, we conclude that the degree of jet separation of the line bundle $\mathscr{O}(2,2)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ at the general point, and the Seshadri constant at such a point, coincide.

The last part of the package LatticePolytopes provides methods to understand the fiber and image of the Gauss map and its higher order analogues on toric varieties. For an n-dimensional projective variety $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ which is k-jet spanned at the general point, the Gauss map of order k is defined as the rational map $X \dashrightarrow Gr\big(\binom{n+k}{k} - 1, N\big)$ that sends a point $x \in X$ to the k-th osculating space of the embedded variety at x. Recall that the projectivisation of the image of the map j^k, defining k-jet spannedness, is called the k-th osculating space. Here, the k-th osculating space is considered as a point of the appropriate Grassmannian $Gr\big(\binom{n+k}{k} - 1, N\big)$ of $\binom{n+k}{k} - 1$ dimensional projective subspaces of $\mathbb{P}^N$. In particular, the case $k = 1$ gives the classical Gauss map. The functions gausskFiber and gausskImage allow for the computation of the general fiber and the image of the Gauss map of order k. To illustrate these methods we compute the fiber and image of the Gauss map of order 2 for the singular toric variety associated to the polytope on the right using the code on the left.

```
P=convexHull(transpose matrix{{0,0},{1,0},{3,1},{0,2}});
gausskFiber(latticePoints(P),2)
           2
= {1, x , x }
       1   1
gausskImage(latticePoints(P),2)
    4 5   5 5   6 5   7 5
= {x x , x x , x x , x x }
    0 1   0 1   0 1   0 1
```



The first command line constructs the polytope, while the second and third computes the fiber and image of the Gauss map of order 2.

For the remaining methods of the package LatticePolytopes we refer to the documentation available through Macaulay2.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Mats Boij for introducing us to Macaulay2 and proofreading. Moreover, we are greatful to Sandra Di Rocco and David Rydh for helpfull comments.

References

[1] Thomas Bauer, Sandra Di Rocco, Brian Harbourne, Michał Kapustka, Andreas Knutsen, Wioletta Syzdek, and Tomasz Szemberg. A primer on Seshadri constants. In *Interactions of classical and numerical algebraic geometry*, volume 496 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 33–70. AMS, Providence, RI, 2009.

[2] René Birkner. Polyhedra: a package for computations with convex polyhedral objects. *J. Softw. Algebra Geom.*, 1:11–15, 2009.

[3] Tristram Bogart, Christian Haase, Milena Hering, Benjamin Lorenz, Benjamin Nill, Andreas Paffenholz, Günter Rote, Francisco Santos, and Hal Schenck. Finitely many smooth d-polytopes with n lattice points. *Israel J. Math.*, 207(1):301–329, 2015.

[4] Maria Castellani. Sule superfici i cui spazi osculatori sono biosculatori. *Rom. Acc. I. Rend.*, 5(31):347–350, 1922.

[5] David A. Cox, John B. Little, and Henry K. Schenck. *Toric varieties*, volume 124 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. AMS, Providence, RI, 2011.

[6] Sandra Di Rocco. Linear Toric Fibrations. In *Combinatorial Algebraic Geometry, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2108*. Springer, 2014.

[7] Sandra Di Rocco, Christian Haase, Benjamin Nill, and Andreas Paffenholz. Polyhedral adjunction theory. *Algebra Number Theory*, 7(10):2417–2446, 2013.

[8] Sandra Di Rocco, Kelly Jabbusch, and Anders Lundman. A note on higher order Gauss maps. *ArXiv e-prints*, (1410.4811), 2014.

[9] Katsuhisa Furukawa and Atsushi Ito. Gauss maps of toric varieties. *ArXiv e-prints*, (1403.0793), 2014.

[10] Daniel R. Grayson and Michael E. Stillman. Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry. Available at `http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/`.

[11] Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris. Algebraic geometry and local differential geometry. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 12(3):355–452, 1979.

[12] Atsushi Ito. Seshadri constants via toric degenerations. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 695:151–174, 2014.

[13] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, volume 49 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[14] Benjamin Lorenz. Classification of smooth lattice polytopes with few lattice points. Master thesis, Frei Universität Berlin, Fachbereich Mathematik., 2010.

[15] Anders Lundman. A classification of smooth convex 3-polytopes with at most 16 lattice points. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 37(1):139–165, 2013.

[16] Anders Lundman. Local positivity of line bundles on smooth toric varieties and Cayley polytopes. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, pages –, 2015.

[17] David Perkinson. Inflections of Toric Varieties. *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 48(1):483–515, 2000.

[18] William F. Pohl. Differential geometry of higher order. *Topology*, 1:169–211, 1962.

Department of Mathematics, KTH Royal Institute of Techonlogy, SE-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden

E-mail address: `alundman@kth.se`

Department of Mathematics, KTH Royal Institute of Techonlogy, SE-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden

E-mail address: `gss@math.kth.se`